

# Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act (FoISA) and Research Information Information for Researchers

**Prepared by:** Claire Johnson, University of Glasgow

**Adopted:**

## Disclaimer

This paper summarises the current thinking of the Scottish Higher Education Information Practitioners Group on this issue in line with the legislation and codes of practice current at the time of publication. Please note that it does not constitute legal advice. It has been prepared by Scottish Higher Education Information Practitioners to provide the sector with a practical approach to the implementation of freedom of information legislation. It should not be acted upon in isolation and, where necessary, you should seek professional legal advice.

Copyright in original materials contained in this paper resides with the institution that produced it. The paper may be viewed, printed or downloaded for non-commercial use only. It is not to be modified, reproduced, transmitted, published or otherwise made available in whole or in part for commercial purposes without the prior written consent of the creating institution. If the paper is used elsewhere, the creating institution must be credited.

## Contents

	Page
1. Consideration of key issues	1
2. Introduction	3
3. Exemptions	3
4. What research information is covered by the S27(2) research exemption?	3
5. The researcher and student	4
6. Operational information about research activities	4
7. Commerciality of research	5
8. Frequently Asked Questions	5

## 1.Consideration of key issues

The Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002 (FoISA) is a blunt instrument to get access to research information because there is great variation across subject areas as to the expected outputs that could be released. The rights of access under the Act are retrospective and apply to all documents in the University's possession. Information that may arise in the course of research and development is referred to in section 27 (S.27) of the FoISA. There is no equivalent provision in the Freedom of Information Act 2000 passed in Westminster.

### 1.1 Ownership

The management and exploitation of intellectual property (IP), arising from research, is frequently linked to the promotion and commercial exploitation of inventions, inventive ideas and other intellectual property developed by members of staff and research students, to the mutual benefits of the inventor and University.

1.2 A University may have in place an "Intellectual Property Policy" and the terms and conditions of employment may explicitly recognise that, under the terms of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, where intellectual property is created by an employee in the course of her/his employment, that IPR is owned by the employer.

1.3 Where research is sponsored by an external organisation the terms of the contract between the University and that organisation will define the ownership of the resulting IP. For example, if a postgraduate student is working at a sponsoring establishment there may be a separate agreement, which defines ownership of IP resulting from her/his research.

#### *1.4 Dataset deposit requirements*

Most UK Funding Councils define their requirements for the cataloguing and archiving of research data beyond the life of the project funding. For example, the ESRC recommends the UK Data Archive (UKDA), which is part of Economic & Social Data Service (ESDS), is responsible for both quantitative and qualitative data, its acquisition, storage and dissemination generated as a result of ESRC funding. ESDS Qualidata (which is part of the UKDA) also handles a limited number of qualitative materials that are not in digital format.

## **2. Introduction**

2.1 Research is a complex activity. It comprises individual scholarly activity, collaborative activity, competitive bidding for research projects from internal or external sources, consultancy activities (for internal and external clients) and postgraduate student research<sup>1</sup>.

2.2 The management of primary research data and records includes material on: the research process, its outcomes or products, the management of the process and the primary and analysed research data. For some areas of study these records and the systems that generate, manipulate, manage and preserve are totally digital. It is, therefore, necessary to seek the views of researchers from across a wide range of subject areas in your institution.

2.3 The key issue is whether, and in what circumstances, the application of exemptions should be used, to protect of an individual's Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) in particular. Particular concern is focused on the preservation of IPR and the potential abuse of these if all documents, or refined data sources, were to be made freely available. Whilst the general presumption of the Act, transparency of process, is not in dispute there is some difficulty in reconciling it with the outputs of research. Much of the problem with the FoISA is that it does not define the level of detail that should be released and academics are concerned that FoISA will challenge the academic conventions and culture of exchange<sup>2</sup>.

2.4 There is some variation of practice and culture according to subject, for example, raw data about space exploration is freely available from NASA but academics using sources for more narrowly focused work may instinctively keep the details more circumspect.

2.5 Stimulating cultural change to match the presumptions that the FoISA makes about our activities will be a major challenge and it is clear that research ethics and academic conventions, identifying appropriate attribution of sources and suchlike, regarding exchange of information about research activity will play an important part of compliance.

2.6 It is likely that there will be considerable interest in getting information from HEIs about their research activities from individuals or campaigning organisations involved in public debate. Campaigning organisations may also want information for campaigns which HEIs would regard as against their interests e.g. future plans for building on green-field sites or information about use of animals in research. Commerce and industry may seek information to help them further their commercial interests. The Office of the Scottish Information Commissioner (OSIC) has indicated that they will examine the application of the exemptions on a case-by-case basis.

## **3. Exemptions**

3.1 It is up to each institution to establish and communicate the most appropriate means to assess and apply the exemptions and on whom responsibilities fall.

---

<sup>1</sup> For information on the application of records management to research records see the following url:  
[http://online.northumbria.ac.uk/faculties/art/information\\_studies/imri/rarea/rm/rm\\_primary\\_res\\_data.htm](http://online.northumbria.ac.uk/faculties/art/information_studies/imri/rarea/rm/rm_primary_res_data.htm)

<sup>2</sup> Calman, Susan & David Gourlay, "The Impact of FOI Laws on Academic Research" *The Freedom of Information Journal* Volume 1, Issue 4 (March/April 2005)

3.2 In terms of research the most relevant exemptions may be content-based exemptions, and hence subject to the substantial prejudice and public interest tests. The content-based exemptions most likely to be relevant to research information are:

- S.27(1) Where the information is intended for publication within twelve weeks.
- S.27(2) Where the information is "obtained in the course of, or derived from, a programme of research".
- S.33(1)(a) Trade secrets
- S.33(1)(b) Where release of the information would substantially prejudice the commercial interests of any person.
- S.39(1) Health and safety. This is potentially applicable to requests about work involving animal research or other controversial topics.

3.3 In cases subject to the public interest test the Act intends the balance to be in favour of the disclosure of information and "Public interest" has been defined to be "of serious concern or benefit to the public not merely of individual interest". Scottish Public Authorities are told that when they consider whether the use of exemptions is appropriate they "will need to make a subjective judgement based on the circumstances of each case and in the light of any emerging guidance or best practice".

3.4 What about the substantial prejudice test? This seems to be broadly applicable to the programme, the interest of any individual participating in the programme (a broader concept than the individual member of staff), the SPA which holds the information, and any other SPA. Situations where the substantial prejudice test could be argued to apply might include:

- Where premature disclosure might make it difficult or impossible to complete the research
- Where premature disclosure might damage an individual academic's career prospects (although it's important to note that the SPA, and the individual academic, will continue to retain their intellectual property rights in any information supplied in response to FOI requests)
- Possible damage to a HEI's future RAE submission?

#### **4. What research information is covered by the S27(2) research exemption?**

4.1 To qualify as a programme of research it must meet the following criteria identified by OSIC:

- Genuine "academic research", not just data collection
- Is speculative and whose commercial value may not yet have emerged
- Is finite and is intended for publication
- The research scope is well-defined and a clear completion date can be identified.

4.2 What if the research is not intended for publication?

- The exemption in S.27 cannot be relied on
- Expectation is to disclose
- Another exemption may apply

4.3 The exemption under S.27 (2) of the FoISA (Information intended for future publication: research programmes) it acknowledges that as with other types of investigation the premature release of information may be misleading and possibly harmful if the information is not at a completed stage.

4.4 Some exemptions could be granted on the grounds that release of requested information would prejudice substantially our commercial interests (S.33 1(a) and (b)) or the personal interests of an individual (such as S.38 - Personal data or S.39(1) - Health and safety). Information contained in award submission reports and "lay summaries" submitted to funding councils are likely to be requested. Other sector guidelines have been produced to cover in more depth the related issues of Intellectual Property Rights and Copyright, Contracts and Commercial Confidentiality. They are available at:

<http://www.universities-scotland.ac.uk/FoI/foI%20site/FoINewsGuidance.htm>

#### **5. The researcher and the student**

5.1 Information recorded as part of personal research programmes will generally be regarded as being "held" by the HEI so access may be given through FoISA though the copyright remains with the individual author(s). This is explored further:

<http://www.jisclegal.ac.uk/publications/foidundaswilsonipr.htm>

<http://www.jisclegal.ac.uk/ipr/IntellectualProperty.htm>

<http://www.jisclegal.ac.uk/publications/ethesesandrew.htm>

## 6. Operational information about research activities

6.1 The appropriate level of detail to be published at University or School/Departmental research strategy is under discussion currently. It may be useful to compile an edited summary for disclosure to avoid breaching commercial interests. This may be resolved on a subject specific basis or by seeking the Commissioner's advice.

## 7. Commerciality of research

7.1 Commercialisation and contracts are explored as commercial sponsors of research may insist on confidentiality in relation to either: data supplied to the researcher or even prevent the researcher from confirming that they have a contract to undertake research for the commercial body. In relation to research involving clinical trials and epidemiological studies the "lay summaries" (abstracts) from grant applications are already produced and in future research funders **may** be obliged to pro-actively make them available.

<http://www.universities-scotland.ac.uk/Fol/foI%20site/FoINewsGuidance.htm>

## 8. Frequently Asked Questions

### 8.1 How will freedom of information affect the way I keep my research information?

Information that has been recorded as part of research programmes will generally be regarded as being "held" by the HEI. There are exemptions to disclosure that may be applicable to some information created as part of the programme when you receive a request. If your research is *not* part of a programme of research the expectation should be that it would be disclosed. .

### 8.2 How will freedom of information affect my intellectual property rights?

Providing a copy of information for freedom of information purposes does not mean that an individual or organisation has waived their intellectual property or moral rights. The recipients of the information still have to respect those rights, and this should be made clear in your response to requests. The management and appropriate exploitation of research, should not be compromised by FoISA.

### 8.3 My unit is funded entirely from external sources. Is it exempt from freedom of information?

No, it is not exempt. It will be covered because the Act applies to all our activities and relationships (even with commercial or external sources). Confidentiality clauses that relate to specific information that is genuinely confidential are acceptable where there are good commercial reasons for doing so.

### 8.4 I have been granted access to data for my research on special terms. How does freedom of information affect this?

This depends on the type of data, who owns the data and possibly the time of release. You may wish to consult with the person or institution that gave access to the data, although the decision on whether or not to release is the responsibility of the HEI. New agreements should take cognisance of FoISA.

### 8.5 My research career is based on the exploitation of a particular database of information. Would I be expected to give this database away to any enquirer?

Not necessarily. This decision should be based on a value judgement that takes into account to the type of data, the focus of the work, who owns the data and possibly the time of release.

### 8.6 I brought research information with me from my previous institution. How does freedom of information affect this information?

It is still covered by the Act if it is still "held" by the institution. This decision should be based on a value judgement that takes into account to the type of data, the focus of the work, who owns the data and possibly the time of release.

### 8.7 I carry out research funded by commercial companies. Does freedom of information mean that I have to give away their confidential information?

There is a provision within the Act to maintain the confidentiality of such information, and it is explored in:

<http://www.universities-scotland.ac.uk/Fol/foI%20site/FoINewsGuidance.htm>

### 8.8 The research exemption talks about substantial prejudice to the interests of any individual involved in the research. Does "individual" include organisations and companies?

Yes, it reflects the legal definition of individual, which includes organisations or companies.